

ON THE RESTRICTION MAP FOR JACOBI FORMS

B. RAMAKRISHNAN AND K. D. SHANKHADHAR

ABSTRACT. In this article we give a description of the kernel of the restriction map for Jacobi forms of index 2 and obtain the injectivity of $D_0 \oplus D_2$ on the space of Jacobi forms of weight 2 and index 2. We also obtain certain generalization of these results on certain subspace of Jacobi forms of square-free index m .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let D_0 be the restriction map from the space of Jacobi forms of weight k , index m on the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$ to that of elliptic modular forms of the same weight on $\Gamma_0(N)$ given by $\phi(\tau, z) \mapsto \phi(\tau, 0)$. More generally, one obtains modular forms of weight $k + \nu$ from Jacobi forms of weight k by using certain differential operators D_ν . Then it is known that the direct sum $\oplus_{\nu=0}^m D_{2\nu}$ is injective for k even, but in general the restriction map D_0 is not injective (see [1, 2, 3] for details). However, J. Kramer [4] and T. Arakawa and S. Böcherer [2] observed that when $k = 2$ the situation may be different. In fact, when $m = 1$, Arakawa and Böcherer [1] provided two explicit descriptions of $\text{Ker}(D_0)$: one in terms of modular forms of weight $k - 1$ and the other in terms of cusp forms of weight $k + 2$ (by applying the differential operator D_2 on $\text{Ker}(D_0)$). In a subsequent paper [2], they proved that D_0 is injective in the case $k = 2$, $m = 1$ and gave some applications. In a private communication to the authors, Professor Böcherer informed that one of his students gave a precise description of the image of $D_0 \oplus D_2$ in terms of vanishing orders at the cusps (k arbitrary, $m = 1$). Based on this, he conjectured that in the case $k = 2$, one can remove one of the $D_{2\nu}$ from the direct sum $\oplus_{\nu=0}^m D_{2\nu}$ without affecting the injectivity.

In this paper, we generalize the results of [1] to higher index. In §3, we consider the case $m = 2$ and show that $\text{Ker}(D_0)$ is isomorphic to the space of vector-valued modular forms of weight $k - 1$ and $D_2(\text{Ker}(D_0))$ is isomorphic to a certain subspace of cusp forms of weight $k + 2$ and these two spaces are related with each other by a simple isomorphism (Theorem 3.3). In §3.3, we obtain the injectivity of $D_0 \oplus D_2$ on $J_{2,2}(\Gamma_0(2N))$, where $N = 2$ or an odd square-free positive integer (Theorem 3.4). This confirms the conjecture made by Professor Böcherer partially in the index 2 case (i.e., we can omit the operator D_4). In §4, we consider a subspace of $J_{k,m}(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)$, where m is a square-free positive integer and N is any positive integer and obtain results similar to [1] and prove the injectivity of D_0 on this subspace when $k = 2$, and mN is square-free (Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 4.4). In §5, we make several remarks concerning the subspace studied in §4.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F50; Secondary 11F11.

Key words and phrases. Modular forms, Jacobi forms, Restriction map, Differential operators.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let k, m and N be positive integers and χ be a Dirichlet character modulo N . We denote the standard generators of the full modular group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ by $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and let $I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ be the identity matrix. One has the relation $S^2 = (ST)^3 = -I_2$. Let $\mathbb{H}$ denote the complex upper half-plane. Define the action of the full Jacobi group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ by

$$[\gamma, (\lambda, \mu)](\tau, z) = \left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{z + \lambda\tau + \mu}{c\tau + d} \right), \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(\tau, z) \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$. We simply write $\gamma(\tau, z)$ for $[\gamma, (0, 0)](\tau, z)$. We denote the space of Jacobi forms of weight k , index m and Dirichlet character χ for the Jacobi group $\Gamma_0(N) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ by $J_{k,m}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$. It is well known that any such Jacobi form $\phi(\tau, z)$ can be (uniquely) written as

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{r=0}^{2m-1} h_{m,r}(\tau) \theta_{m,r}^J(\tau, z),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{m,r}^J(\tau, z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i m \left((n + \frac{r}{2m})^2 \tau + 2(n + \frac{r}{2m})z \right)}, \\ h_{m,r}(\tau) &= \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \geq r^2/4m}} c_\phi(n, r) e^{2\pi i (n - \frac{r^2}{4m})\tau}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_\phi(n, r)$ denotes the (n, r) -th Fourier coefficient of the Jacobi form ϕ .

The (column) vector $\Theta^J(\tau, z) = (\theta_{m,r}^J(\tau, z))_{0 \leq r < 2m}$ satisfies the transformation

$$\Theta^J(\gamma(\tau, z)) = e^{2\pi i m \frac{cz^2}{c\tau+d}} (c\tau + d)^{\frac{1}{2}} U_m(\gamma) \Theta^J(\tau, z) \quad (2)$$

for all $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Here $U_m : SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow U(2m, \mathbb{C})$ is a (projective) representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Note that U_m is an example of a Weil representation (associated with the discriminant form (D, Q) with $D = \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$ and $Q : D \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ given by $Q(x) = x^2/4m$). In the cases $m = 1$ and 2 , it is given by

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(T) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_1(S) = \frac{e^{-\pi i/4}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \\ U_2(T) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{i} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_2(S) = \frac{e^{-\pi i/4}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -i & -1 & i \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & i & -1 & -i \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The (column) vector $\mathbf{h} = (h_{m,r})_{0 \leq r < 2m}$ satisfies the following transformation property.

For any $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$, we have

$$\mathbf{h}(\gamma\tau) = \chi(d)(c\tau + d)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \overline{U_m}(\gamma) \mathbf{h}(\tau), \quad (3)$$

where $\gamma\tau = \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}$.

For any $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(m)$, let γ_m denote the $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & bm \\ \frac{c}{m} & d \end{pmatrix}$. Let the matrices $(u_{ij})_{0 \leq i,j < 2m}$ and $(u_{ij}^m)_{0 \leq i,j \leq 1}$ represent $U_m(\gamma)$ and $U_1(\gamma_m)$ respectively. Since $\theta_{m,0}^J(\tau, z) = \theta_{1,0}^J(m\tau, mz)$ and $\theta_{m,m}^J(\tau, z) = \theta_{1,1}^J(m\tau, mz)$, for any $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(m)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_{m,0}^J, \theta_{m,m}^J)^t(\gamma(\tau, z)) &= (\theta_{1,0}^J, \theta_{1,1}^J)^t(m(\gamma(\tau, z))) = (\theta_{1,0}^J, \theta_{1,1}^J)^t(\gamma_m(m\tau, mz)) \\ &= e^{2\pi i m \frac{cz^2}{c\tau+d}} (c\tau + d)^{\frac{1}{2}} U_1(\gamma_m) (\theta_{m,0}^J, \theta_{m,m}^J)^t(\tau, z). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Now, comparing the transformation properties for the action of $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(m)$ as given in (2) and (4) for $\theta_{m,0}^J$ and $\theta_{m,m}^J$, we have two linear equations in $(\theta_{m,r}^J)_{0 \leq r < 2m}$ as follows:

$$(u_{00} - u_{00}^m) \theta_{m,0}^J + (u_{0m} - u_{01}^m) \theta_{m,m}^J + \sum_{j \neq 0, m} u_{0j} \theta_{m,j}^J = 0. \quad (5)$$

$$(u_{m0} - u_{10}^m) \theta_{m,0}^J + (u_{mm} - u_{11}^m) \theta_{m,m}^J + \sum_{j \neq 0, m} u_{mj} \theta_{m,j}^J = 0. \quad (6)$$

Since the set $\{\theta_{m,r}^J\}_{0 \leq r < 2m}$ is linearly independent over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ (see for example [10, Lemma 3.1]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{00} &= u_{00}^m, u_{0m} = u_{01}^m, u_{0j} = 0 \text{ for all } j \neq 0, m; \\ u_{m0} &= u_{10}^m, u_{mm} = u_{11}^m, u_{mj} = 0 \text{ for all } j \neq 0, m. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3) and the above observation for U_m , we also have

$$(h_{m,0}, h_{m,m})^t(\gamma\tau) = \chi(d)(c\tau + d)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \overline{U_1}(\gamma_m) (h_{m,0}, h_{m,m})^t(\tau), \quad (7)$$

for all $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(mN)$.

For any two matrices $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma_0(m)$, $(\gamma\gamma')_m = \gamma_m \gamma'_m$. Using this property we define a character ω_m on $\Gamma_0(m)$ by

$$\omega_m(\gamma) = \det(U_1(\gamma_m)) \quad (\gamma \in \Gamma_0(m)). \quad (8)$$

Note that the character ω_1 is the same as the character ω as defined in [1, p. 311].

Set $\theta_{m,r}(\tau) := \theta_{m,r}^J(\tau, 0)$. Putting $z = 0$ in (2), we get the following transformation property for the column vector $\Theta = (\theta_{m,r})_{0 \leq r < 2m}$.

$$\Theta(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^{1/2} U_m(\gamma) \Theta(\tau), \quad (9)$$

for any $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\eta(\tau) = e^{2\pi i \tau/24} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - e^{2\pi i n \tau})$ denote the Dedekind eta function. Combining the equations (76.1), (78.4) and (78.6) of [6], one has the following identity:

$$\eta^3(2\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \theta_{1,0}(\tau) \theta_{1,1}(\tau) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n e^{2\pi i n^2 \tau}. \quad (10)$$

The above identity implies that $\theta_{1,0}$ and $\theta_{1,1}$ have no zeros in the upper half-plane. Let $\xi(\tau) = (\theta_{1,1}\theta'_{1,0} - \theta_{1,0}\theta'_{1,1})(\tau)$ be the cusp form of weight 3 for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with character ω (see [1, Proposition 2]). For any $m \geq 1$, define

$$\xi_m^*(\tau) := (\theta_{m,m}\theta'_{m,0} - \theta_{m,0}\theta'_{m,m})(\tau). \quad (11)$$

Since $\theta_{m,0}(\tau) = \theta_{1,0}(m\tau)$ and $\theta_{m,m}(\tau) = \theta_{1,1}(m\tau)$, we get that $\xi_m^*(\tau) = m\xi(m\tau)$. Therefore, ξ_m^* is a cusp form of weight 3 for the group $\Gamma_0(m)$ with character ω_m . Note that $\xi_1^* = \xi$. It is proved in [1, Proposition 2] and [5] that $\xi(\tau) = -\pi i \eta^6(\tau)$. Therefore,

$$\xi_m^*(\tau) = -\pi i m \eta^6(m\tau) \quad (12)$$

and hence ξ_m^* has no zeros in $\mathbb{H}$.

Let $M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ (resp. $S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$) denote the vector space of modular forms (resp. cusp forms) of weight k for the group $\Gamma_0(N)$ with character χ . Let $D_0 : J_{k,m}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \rightarrow M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ be the restriction map given by $\phi(\tau, z) \mapsto \phi(\tau, 0)$ and D_2 be the differential operator

$$D_2 = \left(\frac{k}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \right) \Big|_{z=0}, \quad (13)$$

which acts on holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$. Note that D_2 maps the space Jacobi form $J_{k,m}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ into the space of cusp forms $S_{k+2}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$. We denote the kernel of the restriction map $D_0 : J_{k,m}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \rightarrow M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ by $J_{k,m}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)^0$. Without loss of generality we assume that the space $M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ is non-empty, otherwise $\text{Ker}(D_0)$ is the entire space $J_{k,m}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$. In particular, this implies that $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$. Using the transformation property of any Jacobi form for the matrix $-I_2$, we get the symmetry relation $h_{m,r}(\tau) = h_{m,2m-r}(\tau)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$.

3. THE SPACE OF JACOBI FORMS OF INDEX 2

Throughout this section, we assume that $N = 2$, or an odd square-free positive integer. In this section, we study the kernel of the restriction map D_0 for the space of index 2 Jacobi forms on $\Gamma_0(2N)$ and deduce the injectivity of $D_0 \oplus D_2$ in the weight 2 case.

It is elementary to verify that the set

$$X = \{V = (v_{ij})_{0 \leq i,j \leq 3} : v_{ij} = 0 \iff i+j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}, v_{11} = v_{33}, v_{13} = v_{31}\}$$

is a subgroup of $GL_4(\mathbb{C})$ and hence it is easy to verify that the function

$$r(V) = v_{11} + v_{13} \quad (14)$$

is a character on the subgroup X . It is known that the group $\Gamma_0(2)$ is generated by the matrices $-I_2, T$ and ST^2S . The action of U_2 on the generators of $\Gamma_0(2)$ is given by

$$U_2(-I_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, U_2(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{i} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$U_2(ST^2S) = \frac{1}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} 1+i & 0 & 1-i & 0 \\ 0 & 1-i & 0 & 1+i \\ 1-i & 0 & 1+i & 0 \\ 0 & 1+i & 0 & 1-i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, it follows that $U_2(\gamma) \in X$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(2)$. Thus, we have a (projective) representation of $\Gamma_0(2)$ defined by

$$\rho_2(\gamma) = r(U_2(\gamma))^{-1} \overline{U}_1(\gamma_2), \quad (15)$$

where $\gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ c/2 & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, with $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(2)$ and r is the character defined by (14). Since $U_2(\gamma) \in X$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(2)$, equation (9) gives us the following transformation properties.

$$\theta_{2,1}(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^{1/2} (u_{11}\theta_{2,1} + u_{13}\theta_{2,3})(\tau), \quad (16)$$

$$\theta_{2,3}(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^{1/2} (u_{13}\theta_{2,1} + u_{11}\theta_{2,3})(\tau), \quad (17)$$

where $U_2(\gamma) = (u_{ij})_{0 \leq i,j \leq 3}$ as assumed before. One also has the following relations.

$$\theta_{2,1}(\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{4\pi i(n + \frac{1}{4})^2 \tau} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{4\pi i(n - \frac{1}{4})^2 \tau} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{4\pi i(n + \frac{3}{4})^2 \tau} = \theta_{2,3}(\tau), \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{1,1}(\tau) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i(n + \frac{1}{2})^2 \tau} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i(2n + \frac{1}{2})^2 \tau} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i((2n+1) + \frac{1}{2})^2 \tau} = \theta_{2,1}(2\tau) + \theta_{2,3}(2\tau) \\ &= 2\theta_{2,1}(2\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

From (19), we have $\theta_{2,1}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}\theta_{1,1}(\tau/2)$ and since $\theta_{1,1}$ has no zeros in $\mathbb{H}$, $\theta_{2,1}$ also has no zeros in the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$.

3.1. Connection to the space of vector valued modular forms. We start now from a Jacobi form $\phi \in J_{k,2}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)^0$, the kernel of the restriction map D_0 . This implies that

$$0 = \phi(\tau, 0) = h_{2,0}(\tau)\theta_{2,0}(\tau) + 2h_{2,1}(\tau)\theta_{2,1}(\tau) + h_{2,2}(\tau)\theta_{2,2}(\tau).$$

Define two new functions as

$$\varphi_0(\tau) := \frac{h_{2,0}}{\theta_{2,1}}(\tau), \quad \varphi_2(\tau) := \frac{h_{2,2}}{\theta_{2,1}}(\tau). \quad (20)$$

As observed before, $\theta_{2,1}$ has no zeros in the upper half-plane, and hence, φ_0 and φ_2 are holomorphic in the upper half-plane.

Proposition 3.1. *Let φ_0 and φ_2 be as in (20). Then, $(\varphi_0, \varphi_2)^t$ is a vector valued modular form on $\Gamma_0(2N)$ of weight $(k-1)$ with character χ and representation ρ_2 . We denote the space of all such vector valued modular forms by $M_{k-1}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi; \rho_2)$.*

Proof. We have to check the transformation property and holomorphy condition at the cusps. First we check the transformation property. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(2N)$ with $\gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ c/2 & d \end{pmatrix}$ as defined in §2. Using the definition of φ_0, φ_2 and using the transformation (7) with $m = 2$, we have

$$\theta_{2,1}(\gamma\tau)(\varphi_0, \varphi_2)^t(\gamma\tau) = \chi(d)(c\tau + d)^{k-\frac{1}{2}}\overline{U_1}(\gamma_2)\theta_{2,1}(\tau)(\varphi_0, \varphi_2)^t(\tau). \quad (21)$$

Using (16) with (18), we get $\theta_{2,1}(\gamma\tau) = (u_{11} + u_{13})(c\tau + d)^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_{2,1}(\tau)$. Since, $(u_{11} + u_{13}) = r(U_2(\gamma))$, by using the definition of the representation ρ_2 given by (15), the above formula (21) gives the following transformation property:

$$(\varphi_0, \varphi_2)^t(\gamma\tau) = \chi(d)(c\tau + d)^{k-1}\rho_2(\gamma)(\varphi_0, \varphi_2)^t(\tau). \quad (22)$$

It remains to investigate the behaviour of φ_0 and φ_2 at each cusp of $\Gamma_0(2N)$. A complete set of cusps of $\Gamma_0(2N)$ is given by the numbers $\frac{a}{c}$ where c runs over positive divisors of $2N$ and for a given c , a runs through integers with $1 \leq a \leq 2N$, $\gcd(a, 2N) = 1$ that are inequivalent modulo $\gcd(c, \frac{2N}{c})$. For any N , the cusps corresponding to the divisors 1 and $2N$, (i.e., the cusps 1 and $\frac{1}{2N}$) are equivalent to 0 and ∞ respectively. So we can assume that all the cusps of $\Gamma_0(2N)$ are given by ∞ , 0 and $\frac{1}{c}$ with $c|2N$ and $c \neq 1, 2N$. Now choose any cusp s from the above list. We divide our cusp condition verification into the following two cases :

Case 1: Suppose that $s = \infty$. Let us denote $e^{2\pi i\tau}$ by q . If $\phi \in \text{Ker}(D_0)$, then $\phi(\tau, 0) = \sum c_\phi(n, r)q^n = 0$ implies that $c_\phi(0, 0) = 0$. Therefore, $h_{2,0}(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_\phi(n, 0)q^n =$

$q \sum_{n \geq 1} c_\phi(n, 0)q^{n-1}$. Also we have $h_{2,2}(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq \frac{1}{2}} c_\phi(n, 2)q^{n-\frac{1}{2}} = q^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \geq 1} c_\phi(n, 2)q^{n-1}$ and

$\theta_{2,1}(\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{2(n+\frac{1}{4})^2} = q^{\frac{1}{8}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(2n^2+n)}$. Now the holomorphicity at the cusp ∞ of the

functions $\varphi_0 = \frac{h_{2,0}}{\theta_{2,1}}$ and $\varphi_2 = \frac{h_{2,2}}{\theta_{2,1}}$ follow from the q expansions of $h_{2,0}$, $h_{2,2}$ and $\theta_{2,1}$.

Case 2: Suppose that $s \neq \infty$ and choose a matrix $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $g(\infty) = s$. Explicitly, if $s = 0$ or $s = \frac{1}{c}$ we choose g as S or $ST^{-c}S$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (c\tau + d)^{-k+\frac{1}{2}}(-2h_{2,1}(g\tau)) &= (c\tau + d)^{-k+\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi_0(g\tau), \varphi_2(g\tau))(\theta_{2,0}(g\tau), \theta_{2,2}(g\tau))^t \\ &= (c\tau + d)^{-k+1}(\varphi_0(g\tau), \varphi_2(g\tau))(c\tau + d)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta_{2,0}(g\tau), \theta_{2,2}(g\tau))^t. \end{aligned}$$

If $g = ST^{-c}S$ then the $(0, 0)$ -th and $(2, 0)$ -th entries of $U_2(g) = U_2(S)U_2(T^{-c})U_2(S)$ are equal (upto some constants) $1 + (-1)^c + 2i^{-c/2}$ and $1 + (-1)^c - 2i^{-c/2}$ respectively. Thus, for the above choices of g , the matrix $U_2(g)$ will have nonzero entries at the $(0, 0)$ -th place and the $(2, 0)$ -th place since either g is S or $2||c$ or c is odd. This fact can also be obtained by using general formulas for the Weil representations (see for example, [7, 9]). Using the transformation property given by (9) together with the above observations, we see that $(c\tau + d)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta_{2,0}(g\tau), \theta_{2,2}(g\tau))^t$ is a column vector such that each component have $\theta_{2,0}(\tau)$. Since $\theta_{2,0} \rightarrow 1$ and all other theta components tend to 0 as $\text{Im}(\tau) \rightarrow \infty$, each component of the above column vector tends to a non-zero limit as $\text{Im}(\tau)$ tends to ∞ . Together with the holomorphicity of $h_{2,1}$, this shows that $(c\tau + d)^{-k+1}(\varphi_0(g\tau), \varphi_2(g\tau))$ tends to a finite limit as $\text{Im}(\tau)$ goes to ∞ . The required cusp conditions now follow. $\square$

Conversely, let $(\varphi_0, \varphi_2)^t$ be a vector valued modular form in $M_{k-1}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi; \rho_2)$. We now define $\phi(\tau, z)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\tau, z) = \varphi_0(\tau)\theta_{2,1}(\tau)\theta_{2,0}^J(\tau, z) - \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_0\theta_{2,0} + \varphi_2\theta_{2,2})(\tau)(\theta_{2,1}^J + \theta_{2,3}^J)(\tau, z) \\ + \varphi_2(\tau)\theta_{2,1}(\tau)\theta_{2,2}^J(\tau, z). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Using the transformation properties for (φ_0, φ_2) and the theta functions with respect to $\Gamma_0(2N)$, we see that $\phi \in J_{k,2}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)$. Clearly, by definition, $\phi \in \text{Ker}(D_0)$. Thus, we have obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *There is a linear isomorphism*

$$\Lambda_2 : J_{k,2}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)^0 \longrightarrow M_{k-1}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi; \rho_2),$$

given by $\phi \mapsto (\varphi_0, \varphi_2)^t$, where φ_0 and φ_2 are defined by (20). The inverse of Λ_2 is given by (23).

3.2. Connection to the space of cusp forms. Let D_2 be the differential operator as defined in (13) and $\phi \in \text{Ker}(D_0)$ be given by (23). Then proceeding as in [1, Section 3] and using the differential equations

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\theta_{m,r}^J = 4m(2\pi i)\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\theta_{m,r}^J \quad \text{for } r \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2m-1\}, \quad (24)$$

we obtain

$$D_2(\phi) = 8k(\varphi_0(\theta_{2,1}\theta'_{2,0} - \theta_{2,0}\theta'_{2,1}) + \varphi_2(\theta_{2,1}\theta'_{2,2} - \theta_{2,2}\theta'_{2,1})).$$

We define $\xi_0 := \theta_{2,1}\theta'_{2,0} - \theta_{2,0}\theta'_{2,1}$ and $\xi_2 := \theta_{2,1}\theta'_{2,2} - \theta_{2,2}\theta'_{2,1}$. Then

$$D_2(\phi) = 8k(\varphi_0, \varphi_2)(\xi_0, \xi_2)^t. \quad (25)$$

Proceeding as in [1, Proposition 2], for any $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(2)$, we have

$$(\xi_0, \xi_2)^t(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^3(\rho_2(\gamma)^{-1})^t(\xi_0, \xi_2)^t(\tau). \quad (26)$$

Analysing the behaviour of ξ_0 and ξ_2 at the cusps of $\Gamma_0(2)$, we find that the vector $(\xi_0, \xi_2)^t$ is a vector valued cusp form for $\Gamma_0(2)$ of weight 3 and representation $(\rho_2^{-1})^t$. Define the space

$$S_{k+2}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)^0 := \{f \in S_{k+2}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi) : f = \varphi_0 \xi_0 + \varphi_2 \xi_2 \text{ with } (\varphi_0, \varphi_2)^t \in M_{k-1}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi; \rho_2)\}.$$

We summarize the results of §3 in the following.

Theorem 3.3. *The map $D_2 : J_{k,2}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi) \rightarrow S_{k+2}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)$ induces an isomorphism between $J_{k,2}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)^0$ and $S_{k+2}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)^0$. More precisely, we have the following commutative diagram of isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & J_{k,2}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)^0 & \\ \Lambda_2 \swarrow & & \searrow D_2 \\ M_{k-1}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi; \rho_2) & \longleftrightarrow & S_{k+2}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)^0 \end{array}$$

where the isomorphism in the bottom of the diagram is given by

$$(\varphi_0, \varphi_2)^t \mapsto 8k(\xi_0 \varphi_0 + \xi_2 \varphi_2).$$

3.3. Injectivity of $D_0 \oplus D_2$. In this section, we shall prove the injectivity of the operator $D_0 \oplus D_2$ in the weight 2 case. Let $\phi \in \text{Ker}(D_0 \oplus D_2)$. Then $\phi \in \text{Ker}(D_0)$ and so by (25) we get $D_2(\phi) = 8k(\varphi_0 \xi_0 + \varphi_2 \xi_2)$. Now using the fact that $\phi \in \text{Ker}(D_2)$, we obtain

$$0 = D_2(\phi) = 8k(\varphi_0 \xi_0 + \varphi_2 \xi_2),$$

which gives $\varphi_0 \xi_0 + \varphi_2 \xi_2 = 0$. Define

$$\psi(\tau) = \frac{\varphi_0}{\xi_2}(\tau) = \frac{-\varphi_2}{\xi_0}(\tau). \quad (27)$$

Using the definitions of ξ_0 and ξ_2 , we have

$$(\theta_{2,2} \xi_0 - \theta_{2,0} \xi_2)(\tau) = \theta_{2,1}(\tau)(\theta_{2,2} \theta'_{2,0} - \theta_{2,0} \theta'_{2,2})(\tau) = 2\theta_{2,1} \xi_2^*(\tau),$$

where ξ_2^* is defined by (11) and is a cusp form of weight 3 on $\Gamma_0(2)$ with character ω_2 . If for any $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, $\xi_0(\tau) = \xi_2(\tau) = 0$, then the above equation implies (using (12)) that $\theta_{2,1}(\tau) \eta^6(2\tau) = 0$, which is not true. Therefore the function ψ (defined by (27)) is holomorphic in the upper half-plane. We shall now prove that ψ is a modular function of weight $k-4$ with respect to the group $\Gamma_0(2N)$. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(2N)$. Since $\psi \cdot (\xi_2, -\xi_0)^t = (\varphi_0, \varphi_2)^t$, using the transformation (22) we get the following.

$$\psi(\gamma\tau)(\xi_2, -\xi_0)^t(\gamma\tau) = \chi(d)(c\tau + d)^{k-1} \rho_2(\gamma) \psi(\tau)(\xi_2, -\xi_0)^t(\tau).$$

Using (26) and following the argument similar to that given in [1, p. 312], we get the following transformation property (and using the definitions of the representation ρ_2 ,

characters r and ω_2 given respectively by (15), (14) and (8)).

$$\psi(\gamma\tau) = \chi(d) \frac{\overline{\omega}_2(\gamma)}{(u_{11} + u_{13})^2} (c\tau + d)^{k-4} \psi(\tau). \quad (28)$$

Now, let $k = 2$ and $\chi = 1$. Consider the function $\psi\xi(\xi_2^*)^3$, which is a weight 10 cusp form on $\Gamma_0(2N)$ and is divisible by $\eta^{18}(2\tau)$, which follows from (12). Therefore, for N odd square-free, by using Corollary 2.3 of [2], we get $\psi\xi(\xi_2^*)^3 = 0$, which implies that $\psi = 0$. When $N = 2$, we consider the function $\psi\xi\xi_2^*$ which is a weight 4 cusp form on $\Gamma_0(4)$. Since $S_4(\Gamma_0(4)) = \{0\}$, in this case also we get $\psi = 0$. In other words, $\varphi_0 = \varphi_2 = 0$ and hence (23) implies that $\phi = 0$. Thus, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *For $N = 2$ or an odd square-free positive integer, the differential map $D_0 \oplus D_2$ is injective on $J_{2,2}(\Gamma_0(2N))$.*

4. A CERTAIN SUBSPACE OF THE SPACE OF JACOBI FORMS OF SQUARE-FREE INDEX

Throughout this section we assume that m is a square-free positive integer and N is any positive integer. Consider the following subspace of Jacobi forms of index m on $\Gamma_0(mN)$:

$$J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi) := \{\phi \in J_{k,m}(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi) : h_{m,r} = 0 \text{ for all } r \neq 0, m\}.$$

When $m = 1$, we have $J_{k,1}^*(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) = J_{k,1}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$. In the case $m = 2$, we relate the subspace $J_{k,2}^*(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)$ with the space $J_{k,2}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)^0$ in §5. Denote the intersection of the space $J_{k,m}(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)^0$ with the subspace $J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)$ by $J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)^0$. In this section we study the space $J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)^0$ and relate this to the space $J_{k,1}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)^0$, which was studied by Arakawa and Böcherer [1, 2].

4.1. Connection to the space of modular forms. Suppose $\phi \in J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)^0$. Then $0 = \phi(\tau, 0) = h_{m,0}(\tau)\theta_{m,0}(\tau) + h_{m,m}(\tau)\theta_{m,m}(\tau)$. We define a new function by

$$\varphi := \frac{h_{m,0}}{\theta_{m,m}} = \frac{-h_{m,m}}{\theta_{m,0}}. \quad (29)$$

Since $\theta_{m,0}(\tau) = \theta_{1,0}(m\tau)$ and $\theta_{m,m}(\tau) = \theta_{1,1}(m\tau)$, it follows that $\theta_{m,0}$ and $\theta_{m,m}$ have no zeros in the upper half-plane. Therefore φ defines a holomorphic function in the upper half-plane. For any $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(mN)$, let $\gamma_m = \begin{pmatrix} a & mb \\ c/m & d \end{pmatrix}$ (as in §2). Then using the transformation (7) and (29), we get the following.

$$\varphi(\gamma\tau)(\theta_{m,m}, -\theta_{m,0})^t(\gamma\tau) = \chi(d)(c\tau + d)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \overline{U}_1(\gamma_m) \varphi(\tau)(\theta_{m,m}, -\theta_{m,0})^t(\tau) \quad (30)$$

We now proceed as in the proof of [1, Proposition 1]. Using (4) with $z = 0$ in (30) gives the following transformation property. For any $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(mN)$,

$$\varphi(\gamma\tau) = \chi(d) \overline{\omega}_m(\gamma) (c\tau + d)^{k-1} \varphi(\tau). \quad (31)$$

We now study the behaviour of φ at the cusps of $\Gamma_0(mN)$. We can assume that all the cusps of $\Gamma_0(mN)$ are of the form $\frac{a}{c}$ with $\gcd(a, c) = 1$ and c varies over positive divisors of mN . For such a cusp $s = \frac{a}{c}$, choose a matrix $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $g(\infty) = s$. Explicitly, we choose $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & \frac{m}{\alpha}d' \end{pmatrix}$, where $\alpha = \gcd(m, c)$ and b, d' are integers such that $a\frac{m}{\alpha}d' - bc = 1$. Note that $\gcd(a\frac{m}{\alpha}, c) = 1$, since m is square-free. Let $d = \frac{m}{\alpha}d'$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (c\tau + d)^{-k+\frac{1}{2}}(h_{m,0}(g\tau), h_{m,m}(g\tau)) &= (c\tau + d)^{-k+\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(g\tau)(\theta_{m,m}(g\tau), -\theta_{m,0}(g\tau)) \\ &= (c\tau + d)^{-k+\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(g\tau)(\theta_{\alpha,0}(\frac{m}{\alpha}g\tau), \theta_{\alpha,\alpha}(\frac{m}{\alpha}g\tau)) S. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{m}{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g\tau = g'\tau'$, where $g' = \begin{pmatrix} a\frac{m}{\alpha} & b \\ c & d' \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(\alpha)$ and $\tau' = \frac{\alpha}{m}\tau$. Using (4), we get the following equation.

$$(c\tau + d)^{-k+\frac{1}{2}}(h_{m,0}(g\tau), h_{m,m}(g\tau)) = (c\tau + d)^{-k+1}\varphi(g\tau)(\theta_{\alpha,0}(\frac{\alpha}{m}\tau), \theta_{\alpha,\alpha}(\frac{\alpha}{m}\tau))U_1(g'_\alpha)^t S,$$

where $g'_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} am/\alpha & b\alpha \\ c/\alpha & d' \end{pmatrix}$. Now, by a similar argument as in the proof of [1, Proposition 1], we see that φ is holomorphic at all the cusps of $\Gamma_0(mN)$. This shows that the function φ is a modular form of weight $k - 1$ for $\Gamma_0(mN)$ with character $\chi\overline{\omega}_m$. We denote the space of all such modular forms by $M_{k-1}(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi\overline{\omega}_m)$.

Conversely, starting with a modular form $\varphi \in M_{k-1}(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi\overline{\omega}_m)$, we obtain a Jacobi form

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \varphi(\tau)(\theta_{m,m}(\tau)\theta_{m,0}^J(\tau, z) - \theta_{m,0}(\tau)\theta_{m,m}^J(\tau, z)), \quad (32)$$

which belongs to $J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)^0$. We summarize the result of this subsection in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *There is a linear isomorphism*

$$\Lambda_m^* : J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)^0 \longrightarrow M_{k-1}(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi\overline{\omega}_m)$$

given by $\phi \mapsto \varphi$, where φ is defined by (29). The inverse of Λ_m^* is given by (32).

4.2. Connection to the space of cusp forms. Let $\phi \in J_{k,2}^*(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)^0$ be of the form given by (32). By applying D_2 and using the differential equations given by (24), we have

$$D_2(\phi)(\tau) = 4mk\varphi(\tau)(\theta_{m,m}\theta'_{m,0} - \theta_{m,0}\theta'_{m,m})(\tau) = 4m^2k\varphi(\tau)\xi_m^*(\tau), \quad (33)$$

where $\xi_m^*(\tau)$ is the cusp form defined by (11). Now define the space

$$S_{k+2}^*(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)^0 := \{f \in S_{k+2}(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi) : f/\xi_m^* \in M_{k-1}(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi\overline{\omega}_m)\}.$$

We summarize the results of §4.1 and §4.2 in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *The map $D_2 : J_{k,m}(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi) \longrightarrow S_{k+2}(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)$ induces an isomorphism between $J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)^0$ and $S_{k+2}^*(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)^0$. Combining this with Theorem 4.1, we get the following commutative diagram of isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)^0 & \\ \Lambda_m^* \swarrow & & \searrow D_2 \\ M_{k-1}(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi \bar{\omega}_m) & \longleftrightarrow & S_{k+2}^*(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)^0 \end{array}$$

where the isomorphism in the bottom is given by $\varphi \mapsto 4m^2 k \xi_m^* \varphi$.

4.3. Connection to the space $J_{k,1}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)^0$. Following [3, Theorem 3.4] for the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$, we see that the operator $D_0 \oplus D_2$ is injective on $J_{k,1}(\Gamma_0(N))$ for all positive even integers k . Also by [2, Theorem 4.3], D_0 is injective on $J_{2,1}(\Gamma_0(N))$ for square-free N . Now we deduce similar kind of results for the space $J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN))$ in the following two corollaries.

Corollary 4.3. *The differential map $D_0 \oplus D_2 : J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN)) \longrightarrow M_k(\Gamma_0(mN)) \oplus S_{k+2}(\Gamma_0(mN))$ is injective.*

Proof. It is observed in §2 that ξ_m^* has no zeros in the upper half-plane (see (12)). The corollary follows by using this fact along with (33). $\square$

Corollary 4.4. *The restriction map $D_0 : J_{2,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN)) \longrightarrow M_2(\Gamma_0(mN))$ is injective, when mN is square-free, i.e., the kernel space $J_{2,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN))^0 = \{0\}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, we see that the spaces $J_{2,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN))^0$ and $S_4^*(\Gamma_0(mN))^0$ are isomorphic, where $S_4^*(\Gamma_0(mN))^0$ is the subspace of $S_4(\Gamma_0(mN))$ whose functions are divisible by ξ_m^* , in other words, divisible by $\eta^6(m\tau)$. By applying the operator $\tau \mapsto -\frac{1}{m\tau}$, the subspace $S_4^*(\Gamma_0(mN))^0$ is equal to the subspace whose functions are divisible by $\eta^6(\tau)$. Since mN is square-free, it follows from [2, Corollary 2.3, (2.4)] that $S_4^*(\Gamma_0(mN))^0 = \{0\}$. $\square$

Let $J_{k,m}(\Gamma_0(mN), \nu)$ denote the space of Jacobi forms of index m on $\Gamma_0(mN)$ with character ν .

Corollary 4.5. *The two kernel spaces $J_{k,1}(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)^0$ and $J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi \omega_m \bar{\omega})^0$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Using [1, Theorem 1] and Theorem 4.1, both the spaces $J_{k,1}(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)^0$ and $J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi \omega_m \bar{\omega})^0$ are isomorphic to the same space $M_{k-1}(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi \bar{\omega})$. This proves the corollary. $\square$

Corollary 4.6. *Let N be a square-free positive integer and coprime to m . Then $J_{2,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN), \omega_m \bar{\omega})^0 = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Since mN is square-free, the corollary follows by using Corollary 4.5 together with [2, Theorem 4.3]. $\square$

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Remark 5.1. Note that Theorem 4.2 reduces to [1, Theorem 2] in the case of index 1. Moreover, Corollary 4.5 shows that there may exist non-trivial examples of isomorphic subspaces in the spaces of Jacobi forms of different index.

Remark 5.2. The space $J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN), \chi)$ as defined in the section 4 can be quite large for some values of m . For example, if k is even and m is square-free, it is easy to verify that $\dim J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN)) \geq \dim J_{k,m}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$. Take any Jacobi form $\phi = \sum_{j=0}^{2m-1} h_{m,j} \theta_{m,j}^J$ of even weight k and square-free index m for the full Jacobi group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2$. Using (4) and (7), the function defined by $\psi_{0,m} := h_{m,0} \theta_{m,0}^J + h_{m,m} \theta_{m,m}^J$ is a Jacobi form of weight k and index m for the Jacobi group $\Gamma_0(m) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2$. Define a mapping $J_{k,m}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(m))$ by $\phi(\tau, z) \mapsto \psi_{0,m}(\tau, z)$. By [8, Theorem 1] we know that this map is injective. Hence, for any positive integer N we have the inequalities $\dim J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(mN)) \geq \dim J_{k,m}^*(\Gamma_0(m)) \geq \dim J_{k,m}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$.

Remark 5.3. Suppose N is either 2 or an odd square-free positive integer. By using (7), it is easy to see that the space $J_{k,2}^*(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)$ is isomorphic to $M_{k-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi; U_2^*)$, the space of vector valued modular forms of weight $k - \frac{1}{2}$ and (projective) representation U_2^* defined on $\Gamma_0(2)$ by $U_2^*(\gamma) = \overline{U}_1(\gamma_2)$. It is also not hard to verify that the map $(\varphi_0, \varphi_2)^t \mapsto (\frac{\varphi_0}{\theta_{2,1}}, \frac{\varphi_2}{\theta_{2,1}})^t$ is an isomorphism from the space $M_{k-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi; U_2^*)$ onto the space $M_{k-1}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi; \rho_2)$. Combining this observation with Theorem 3.2, we see that the spaces $J_{k,2}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)^0$ and $J_{k,2}^*(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)$ are isomorphic.

Remark 5.4. Suppose that N is either 2 or an odd square-free positive integer. If we consider the space $J_{k,2}^*(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)^0$ as a subspace of the full kernel space $J_{k,2}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)^0$, then under the isomorphism diagram of Theorem 3.3 any $\phi \in J_{k,2}^*(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)^0$ will correspond to a vector valued modular form $(\varphi_0, \varphi_2)^t$ which satisfies the property $\varphi_0 \theta_{2,0} + \varphi_2 \theta_{2,2} = 0$. Moreover, the image of the space $J_{k,2}^*(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)^0$ under Λ_2 , that is, the space $\Lambda_2(J_{k,2}^*(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi)^0)$ is isomorphic to the space $M_{k-1}(\Gamma_0(2N), \chi \overline{\omega}_2)$ and the isomorphism is given by $(\varphi_0, \varphi_2)^t \mapsto \frac{\varphi_0 \theta_{2,1}}{\theta_{2,2}} (= \frac{-\varphi_2 \theta_{2,1}}{\theta_{2,0}})$.

Acknowledgements: Our heartfelt thanks to Professor S. Böcherer for providing many valuable suggestions and also for sharing his views on this work. We also thank the referee for his/her meticulous reading of the manuscript and suggesting corrections which improved the presentation.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Arakawa and S. Böcherer, *A note on the restriction map for Jacobi forms*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **69** (1999), 309–317.
- [2] T. Arakawa and S. Böcherer, *Vanishing of certain spaces of elliptic modular forms and some applications*, J. reine angew. Math. **559** (2003), 25–51.
- [3] M. Eichler and D. Zagier, *The Theory of Jacobi Forms*, Progr. Math. **55**, Birkhäuser, 1985.
- [4] J. Kramer, *Jacobiformen und Thetareihen*, Manuscripta Math. **54** (1986), 279–322.

- [5] J. Kramer, *A Wronskian of Thetanullwerte*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **61** (1991), 61–62.
- [6] H. Rademacher, *Topics in Analytic Number Theory*, Springer 1973.
- [7] N. R. Scheithauer, *The Weil representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and some applications*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **8** (2009), 1488–1545.
- [8] H. Skogman, *Generating Jacobi forms*, Ramanujan J. **10** (2005), 325–339.
- [9] F. Strömberg, *Weil representations associated with finite quadratic modules*, Math. Z. (2013), Online first.
- [10] C. Ziegler, *Jacobi forms of higher degree*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **59** (1989), 191–224.

HARISH-CHANDRA RESEARCH INSTITUTE, CHHATNAG ROAD, JHUNSI, ALLAHABAD 211 019 (INDIA), *Current Address*: [K. D. SHANKHADHAR] THE INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CIT CAMPUS, TARAMANI, CHENNAI 600 113 (INDIA)

E-mail address, B. Ramakrishnan: `ramki@hri.res.in`

E-mail address, K. D. Shankhadhar: `karam@imsc.res.in`, `karamdeo@gmail.com`